metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Jian Fang, Jinyu Han, Heying Chang* and Yang Dong

School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: changheyingls@eyou.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 294 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.026 wR factor = 0.066 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

catena-Poly[[[di- μ -acetato-1 $\kappa^2 O: 2\kappa^2 O'$ bis[(acetato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$)cadmium(II)]]-di- μ -4,4'-bipyridine-1 $\kappa N: 1'\kappa N'; 2\kappa N: 2'\kappa N'$] monohydrate]

The title compound, {[Cd₂(CH₃COO)₄(C₁₀H₈N₂)₂]·H₂O]_n, is a new metal-organic framework structure. The cadmium(II) ion has a distorted octahedral geometry. One of the acetate anions is coordinated to the metal atom in a bidentate chelating mode, whereas the other two anions act as bridges between adjacent Cd^{II} ions. The bipyridine ligands link the cadmium(II) ions, forming a ribbon structure. There is a centre of symmetry midway between the Cd atoms and a twofold rotation axis relating the pyridyl rings, and the water molecule also lies on a twofold rotation axis.

Comment

The construction of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) is currently receiving considerable attention owing to their potential properties as functional solid materials, as well as their fascinating framework structures (Evans & Lin, 2002; Lu et al., 2001; Li et al., 1999). New materials spur new technologies (Snurr et al., 2004), and these compounds can be potentially useful in gas storage, as molecular sieves, in sizeand shape-selective catalysis, in magnetism, in opto-electronic devices, and so on (Eddaoudi et al., 2002; Kitaura et al., 2003; Moulton & Zaworotko, 2001; Millange et al., 2002). These MOF structures can be rapidly, accurately and efficiently synthesized from relatively simple subunits, where the metal ions, multidentate organic ligands, and coordinating bonds are the parameters for directing the self-assembly process. Our studies on the complexation of cadmium(II) salts with 4,4'bipyridine (bpy) has led to the formation of the title compound, (I).



Received 6 December 2005 Accepted 23 December 2005 Online 7 January 2006

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

A view of part of the polymeric structure of (I), showing the atomlabelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. The suffices A and B denote atoms related by the symmetry operators $(\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z)$ and $(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$, respectively.



Figure 2

The polymeric structure of compound (I), viewed along the b axis. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

A part of the polymeric structure of compound (I) is shown in Fig. 1, and selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 1. There is a centre of symmetry midway between the Cd atoms and a twofold rotation axis relating the pyridyl rings, and the water molecule also lies on a twofold rotation axis. Each Cd^{II} centre is six-coordinated by two N atoms (N1 and N2B) from two bpy ligands and four O atoms (O1,O2,O3 and O4A) from three acetate anions, forming a distorted octahedron. One of the acetate anions is coordinated to the metal atom in a bidentate chelating mode, while the other two anions act as bridges between adjacent Cd^{II} ions. The bpy ligands link the cadmium(II) ions, forming a ribbon structure. Adjacent ribbons are interconnected by O-H···O hydrogenbonding interactions (Table 2), forming a two-dimensional network (Fig. 2).

Experimental

Compound (I) was obtained by a hydrothermal reaction. The starting materials, Cd(OAc)₂·4H₂O (0.533 g, 2 mmol), 4,4'-bipyridine

Crystal data

[Cd₂(C₂H₃O₂)₄(C₁₀H₈N₂)₂]·H₂O M = 791.36Monoclinic, C2/ca = 13.5069 (16) Åb = 11.8393 (14) Å c = 19.570 (2) Å $\beta = 91.595 (2)^{\circ}$ V = 3128.3 (6) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD areadetector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2000) $T_{\rm min} = 0.651, T_{\rm max} = 0.844$ 8593 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$ $wR(F^2) = 0.066$ S = 1.133179 reflections 196 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

$D_x = 1.680 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 4745 reflections $\theta = 2.3 - 26.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.42 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 294 (2) K Block, colourless $0.22 \times 0.18 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

3179 independent reflections 2644 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.023$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.3^{\circ}$ $h = -16 \rightarrow 15$ $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $l = -24 \rightarrow 16$

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0298P)^2]$
+ 1.454P]
where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.003$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.39 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.57 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1 Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cd1-O4 ⁱ	2.296 (2)	Cd1-N1	2.338 (2)
Cd1-O3	2.323 (2)	Cd1-O1	2.364 (2)
Cd1-N2 ⁱⁱ	2.329 (2)	Cd1-O2	2.396 (2)
O4 ⁱ -Cd1-O3	124.35 (10)	N2 ⁱⁱ -Cd1-O1	88.22 (9)
O4 ⁱ -Cd1-N2 ⁱⁱ	88.56 (8)	N1-Cd1-O1	93.10 (9)
$O3-Cd1-N2^{ii}$	93.13 (8)	O4 ⁱ -Cd1-O2	146.60 (11)
O4 ⁱ -Cd1-N1	87.01 (8)	O3-Cd1-O2	88.90 (8)
O3-Cd1-N1	88.45 (8)	N2 ⁱⁱ -Cd1-O2	93.09 (9)
N2 ⁱⁱ -Cd1-N1	175.43 (8)	N1-Cd1-O2	91.23 (9)
O4 ⁱ -Cd1-O1	92.50 (12)	O1-Cd1-O2	54.26 (7)
O3-Cd1-O1	143.14 (8)		()

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (ii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°)

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O5-H5A\cdots O2^{iii}$	0.84	2.02	2.848 (3)	172
6	. 1 . 1			

Symmetry code: (iii) $x + \frac{1}{2}$, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, z.

Atom H5A was located in a difference map and allowed to ride on O5 with O5-H5A = 0.84 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(O5)$. The remaining H atoms were placed in calculated positions and constrained to ride on their parent C atoms with C-H distances in the range 0.93-0.96 Å

and $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H})$ set at $1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$ for methyl H atoms and $1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$ for other H atoms. The U^{ij} components of atom C14 were restrained to approximate isotropic behaviour.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Key Laboratory for Green Chemical Technology of the State Education Ministry of Tianjin University.

References

- Bruker (2000). SMART (Version 5.6), SAINT (Version 6.1), SADABS (Version 2.01) and SHELXTL (Version 6.1). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Eddaoudi, M., Kim, J., Rosi, N., Vodak, D., Wachter, J., O'Keeffee, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2002). *Science*, **295**, 469–472.
- Evans, O. R. & Lin, W. (2002). Acc. Chem. Res. 35, 511-522.
- Kitaura, R., Seki, K., Akiyama, G. & Kitagawa, S. (2003). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 42, 428–431.
- Li, H., Eddaoudi, M., O'Keeffe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (1999). *Nature (London)*, **402**, 276–279.
- Lu, J., Mondal, A. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2001). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 113, 2171–2174.
- Millange, F., Serre, C. & Ferey, G. (2002). Chem. Commun. pp. 822-823.
- Moulton, B. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2001). Chem. Rev. 101, 1629-1658.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Snurr, R. Q., Hupp, J. T. & Nguyen, S. T. (2004). AIChE J. 50, 1090-1095.